Dean&Francis
ISSN 2959-6130

Does Leadership Diversity Correlate with Innovation Output in Multinational Corporations?

Jingxuan Liu

Abstract:

With multinational corporations (MNCs) operating in the international sphere, the leadership diversity becomes a crucial source of innovation, and discussions are based on its effect on patent registration due to increased focus on diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) efforts. This project explores the hypothesis that leadership diversity leads to more innovation output in the MNCs, with the hypothesis that diverse executive boards correlate with more patent filings, 18 percent of which are investigated using secondary corporate reports and Poisson regression modeling.

The project is developed in a systematic way with the emphasis on such metrics of diversity as gender, ethnicity, and nationality and considers such socio-economic factors as access to resources and cultural barriers. It relies on case studies of major MNCs, such as technological and pharmaceutical ones, to evaluate the environmental and economic impacts on innovation. The analysis is informed by secondary data such as reports provided by McKinsey and WIPO databases to reduce carbon footprints in similar sustainability situations and examine costs on the behalf of underrepresented groups. The corporate governance and investor belief is needed to intensify various views.

The study indicates a positive correlation between board diversity and patent generation, and indications are that it enhances by 10-25% more collaborative inventions but not across all sectors up to the 18% mark. This implies that it is practical through focused initiatives, including improved DEI policies. Some of the recommendations to make involve the establishment of inclusive teams to enhance innovation and ensuring that the MNCs are competitive at the global level without ignoring the socio-economic differences.

Keywords: Sustainable architecture, Code 5, 2050 net zero, Future Homes Standard, Carbon neutral UK, Feasibility architectural developments.

1. Introduction

The diversity of leadership in multinational corporations (MNCs) is a complex set of attributes that are not limited to the representation to affect the strategic decision-making and organizational processes. Demographic diversity can be described as the observable features like gender, ethnicity, nationality, age, and race that result in increased diversity in the scope of experience in life and cultural viewpoints among executive boards (Ogunwale et al., 2024). Cognitive diversity, conversely, is the differences in knowledge, skills, approaches to solutions, and ways of thinking that could be a result of different educational, professional experience, and functional expertise. All these types of diversity create a culture where different opinions are encouraged to go beyond groupthink and improve collective intelligence (Pesonen, 2022). Patents do not only have a reflection of the new intellectual property creation, but also the ability of an organization to convert different inputs into marketable ideas, which coincide with more general measures of research and development (R&D) productivity.

The reason behind the study of this topic is that it has extensive implications on the economic health of the globe and corporate sustainability in the world that has become more integrated. Because MNCs work in various markets, diversity of leadership has become one of the most significant issues in dealing with cultural complexities and utilizing global talent pools (Shittu, 2022). This relationship is reiterated in recent literature. An examination of Canadian companies found out that ethnic diversity among workers has a positive and significant effect on numerous types of innovation, most prominently manufacturing and service industries, despite the firm factors having been taken into account (Boulet et al., 2022). Equally, a study by Sahoo et al. (2024) has shown that those companies that have above-average levels of diversity in the management teams gain 19 percentage points more revenue than those who have below-average levels of diversity due to innovation. In other reports by Gao et al. (2025), it is also noted that high-quartile companies in terms of gender representation in the executive team are 25 times more likely to achieve higher profitability and ethnic diversity produces a 36-percent benefit. Diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) initiatives increase these trends in major MNCs (Newburry et al., 2022). As an example, Google has already incorporated DEI within its fundamental functions, with a focus on underrepresented groups on the leadership level to drive AI and tech innovation, as a part of overarching commitments through 2025.

The DEI strategy of Unilever is based on gender, race, ethnicity, disabilities, and LGBTQI + communities, whereby its goal is to ensure that there is a complete representation across all levels to stimulate product development and market growth that is inclusive (Gutterman, 2023). Innovation is economically inseparable and it adds to the annual GDP growth in developed economies around 50 per cent., according to the calculations of economists and in line with such bodies as the U.S. Chamber of Commerce. The OECD stresses that the countries that have an innovative-friendly environment have been growing their GDP rates more intensively, which is why diversity-driven innovation can be used to boost macroeconomic growth (Gcume & Mohapeloa, 2025). That was in a post-pandemic period when technological change is accelerating, like the 0.97 percentage point of AI contribution to U.S. GDP growth in 2025. Thus, it is important to understand this connection to remain competitive and handle issues like inequality and environmental sustainability worldwide.

The research question in this project is the following: *Does leadership diversity relate to the output of innovation in multinational corporations?* The hypothesis that can be tested is that diverse executive boards auger in augmented patent filings by 18, which was analyzed using secondary corporate reports and Poisson regression modeling (Boshanna, 2021). This level is based on summative experimental data, including those of BCG on the increase in revenue on innovation, which is adjusted to the number of patents as a first-degree measure of production.

The project is designed in a manner that it will test this hypothesis in a systematic manner in major sections that lead to others. After this introduction, the literature review will synthesize the existing theories and empirical studies done on the role of diversity in innovation, determine gaps and theoretical frameworks such as Upper Echelons Theory.

The methodology states the sources of secondary data, selection of variables, and the use of Poisson regression to test the hypothesis. The discussion and data analysis provides the descriptive statistics, model results, and interpretations including case studies of chosen MNCs. Among the objectives, it is planned to examine relevant literature to provide a background, analyze data to measure correlations, test the hypothesis in terms of statistical validity and make implications about corporate policy. The conclusion of the findings and contributions has been made, and the evaluation has offered a reflection on the process and limitations of the project.

The topic area is specifically narrowed down to MNCs in the technology and pharmaceutical industries where innovation plays a critical part and patenting is prevalent. The secondary sources that will provide data will be annual reports of companies, patent databases such as the USPTO and EPO, and diversity indexes of Bloomberg or McKinsey published in 2015-2025. This period covers pre- and post-pandemic changes in the practice of DEI and innovation tendencies, making it relevant without abusing the field and making irrelevant other sectors. This

ISSN 2959-6130

question is beyond academic interest, and it is indicative of the changing needs in the globalized economy where diverse leadership is not only ethical but also a requirement to survive. On a personal level, as a person interested in understanding how inclusive space enhances human capabilities similar to sustainable architecture fulfilling the current needs without impairing the prospective, the project is an opportunity to develop business studies with tangible outcomes, busting the myths and advocating the fairness of development in corporate governance.

2. Research Review

2.1 Theoretical Background

The connection between the leadership diversity and innovation is supported by a number of theories that underscore the contribution of diverse views to the organizational performance. The Upper Echelons Theory (UET) was a theory put forward by Hambrick and Mason in 1984 according to which the attributes of top executives such as demographic and cognitive diversity have a strong impact on the strategic decisions and the performance of a firm (Ismail et al., 2023). UET suggests that different leaders will have various experiences, values and bases of cognition that will influence the way they explain diverse scenarios resulting in more creative approaches. As an example, when top management is heterogeneous (TMTs), groupthink can be reduced and innovative solutions to problems can be developed, but extremely high levels of diversity can create potential conflicts preventing consensus (Su et al., 2021). This theory has also been amended to emphasize on behavioral integration within TMTs as a moderator and enhancement of benefit of diversity on promoting innovation in dynamic situations. Empirical studies that applied UET to multinational settings demonstrate that cultural and functional diversity in leadership is associated with more innovation products, including patents, as it allows new ways of combining ideas (Pīpiķe & Gaile-Sarkane, 2025). The use of observable characteristics of the gender and ethnicity in the theory has however been criticized as simplifying the deeper differences in cognition and therefore ignoring the interplay of these differences with the organisational contexts.

By adding to UET, the Resource-Based View (RBV) describes diversity as a strategic asset that offers sustainable competitive advantage. Likewise, RBV, which was developed by such scholars as Barney in 1991, proposes that firm resources should be valuable, rare, inimitable, and organized (VRIO) to stimulate high-level performance (Pīpiķe & Gaile-Sarkane, 2025). The diversity in leadership can be regarded as such a resource in this lens as it will add innovation-related capabilities such as creativity and adaptiveness. In the case of multinational corporations (MNCs), different executives use the cultural peculiarities to see the opportunities in the market and create new products, making heterogeneity an obstacle to copying. Research on the use of RBV in diversity indicates that, by its complex pattern of social interactions, it creates causal ambiguity, which is difficult to duplicate by competitors (Nayak, 2022). However, the internal resources orientation of RBV is not entirely positive; it can underestimate the external forces and conditions such as institutional pressures, and empirical results depend on the industry, which makes it doubtful about its applicability capabilities.

Diversity and innovation are further explained with reference to cognitive bias and decision-making models. The cognitive bias, including confirmation bias or overconfidence may be used to influence the choices of leadership, yet the diversity of teams helps to neutralize the cognitive bias as they provide different perspectives that cannot be assumed (Cohee & Barnhart, 2023). Just like the Stimulus-Organism-Response (SOR) theory of consumer behavior, where the external conditions influence the internal conditions and reactions, diversity is a stimulus that minimizes bias-induced errors in strategic decisions. As an illustration, an affinity bias, or preference to similar people, can hamper creativity within the homogenous teams, whereas heterogeneous ones encourage inclusion in decision-making, similar to the Nudge Theory focus on minor factors to achieve better results (Mikaeili & Tagliabue, 2025). Studies show that biases influence at least 70 percent of decision-making, yet different leadership eliminates this, increasing innovativeness (Berthet, 2022). Importantly, as much as these models emphasize the positive, they indicate that such models have some negative implications such as heightened conflict due to cultural conflict and that there is the need to moderate such a possibility by sharing leadership. Altogether, these theories are strong ground to be used though careful application in the context of MNC setting is necessary because the predictive value is subject to situational circumstances.

2.2 Factors Influencing Diversity-Innovation Link

The role of gender and ethnic diversity in leadership teams is a central aspect to determine an association between diversity and innovation in the MNCs. The study by Walton and Tribbitt (2023) regularly demonstrates that firms with highest 4 grids in gender diversity of the executive team are 15-25% more likely to lead competitors in terms of profitability with ethnic diversity providing 33-36% benefits. This evidence implies that different teams are more likely to offer a wider perspective and enhance the decision-making process and innovative solutions. This is echoed in studies that have been conducted in Viet-

nam and Azerbaijan that associate gender diversity with increased innovation capacity in the IT and construction industries (Low, 2023). Nonetheless, Walton and Tribbitt (2023) data is subject to selection bias since it is going to be based on a collection of consulting engagements, which will favor high-performing firms who have already invested in diversity and may inflate correlations. It has been critiqued academically by Negi et al. (2025) that top-quartile diverse firms have 15-35% outperformance, which is still debated as to whether diversity drives success or vice versa. In addition to demographics, such aspects as organizational culture and team dynamics mediate the diversity-innovation relationship.

In the case of mixed-gender groups, the performance in terms of innovation is better as a result of complementary skills, and women tend to focus on using collaborative skills and ethical considerations to improve creativity. Ethnic diversity in Canadian companies also has a positive impact on the issue of innovation especially in the manufacturing sector since the cultural backgrounds bring innovative ideas. However there are contradictions, since there are also studies that indicate an inverse U-shaped relationship, with average diversity increasing innovation, but over the top differentiation causes conflict that slows performance down (Ogunwale et al., 2024). Differences that come as a result of culture may either stimulate creativity due to the variation of perspectives or act as a hindrance to creativity via communication barriers. In the case of MNCs, global teams enjoy the advantages of ethnic diversity in the process of capturing market-specific innovations, yet they need to be highly integrated (Mikaeili & Tagliabue, 2025). The level of reliability is not constant: peer-reviewed articles provide the strictest sort of controls, whereas self-reported survey-based reports such as those provided by BCG can overestimate the benefits because respondents are self-reporting.

2.3 Empirical Evidence and Gaps

There is empirical data on the effects of diversity on innovation based on patent filings, which indicate ambivalent but overall positive values in MNCs. USPTO and EPO statistics show that heterogeneous teams make more patent applications, and research has found that gender diversity is associated with 18-20 percent more filings in such areas as AI and biotechnology (Ogunwale et al., 2024). An example is that mixed-gender teams generate disruptive patents that are more general to impact a larger technology. In the US, 17% of patents was taken by women inventors by 2022, which correlates with green tech innovation, which is a reason why meta-analyses mean average the results of the 17% hypothesis of increasing patents (Su et al., 2021). This is supported in Canadian statistics, whereby ethnic diversity increased product and process innovations. Nevertheless, the reports by the USPTO could be trusted as administrative data, whereas the consultation of meta-analyses can be a source of low academic quality, which is inclined to show positive results.

The contradictions point to the gaps. There are studies which lack a direct correlation, and cultural diversity shows an inverse U-shape - effective under moderation, but harmful in excess because of conflicts (Su et al., 2021). High diversity in some cultures lowers innovation through communication barriers as in European companies where fixed effects wipe out positive correlations. Ironically, different teams are more innovative in unstable settings and poor in stable ones. There are gaps such as underrepresentation. 17 percent of all patents worldwide are by women, and racial minorities are 6.5 in the US, which restricts the possibility of innovation (Pīpiķe & Gaile-Sarkane, 2025). This is increased by cultural differences; Latin America is a region that has more female participation (23%) compared to Europe (15%). There are still methodological problems: a cross-sectional data does not consider causality, and the number of patents is biased towards quantity rather than quality (Gao et al., 2025). To fill these gaps, future studies should include longitudinal research to support the hypothesis by averaging meta-evidence, taking into account the cultural contingencies.

3. Methodology

3.1 Data Sources

To examine the relationship between leadership diversity and innovation output in multinational corporations (MNCs), this project will be based solely on the secondary data, which will guarantee a strong and ethically sound method without facing any issue on primary data collection. The sources of data were several reputable databases and reports between 2015-2025, the timeframe when the major changes in practices related to diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) have taken place due to such global events as the COVID-19 pandemic and the increased attention to the ESG (environmental, social, governance) aspect. Profane annual reports of corporations, which are available through filings with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) of publicly listed MNCs, contain extensive compositions of the executive board, including demographic analysis. These are also accompanied by Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) reports and may be published on company websites or other systems such as CSRHub, which compiles DEI measures. To obtain the output on innovation, patent information was obtained by utilizing the following databases, including Google Patents, the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), and the European Patent Office (EPO), which provide detailed data on filings by company and year.

ISSN 2959-6130

3.2 Variable Selection and Analysis Methods

The selection of variables was concentrated on measurable variables, which fitted the hypothesis, and was informed by literature to make sure that it is valid. Leadership diversity is measured using independent variables: percentage of women on executive boards, or better put, percentageWomen, percentage of ethnic minorities, or better put, percentageEthnicMinorities, and a composite diversity index, or better put, DiversityScore, which is an average of demographic (gender, ethnicity, nationality) and cognitive (functional background) factors, normalized between 0-1. They were based on SEC reports and BoardEx data, and thresholds were advised by McKinsey standards (i.e., the top-quartile diversity over 30 percent non-majority representation). The control variables are the firm size (log of total assets), R&D expenditure (as a proportion of the revenue) and industry dummies to explain other confounding variables such as resource availability.
The dependent variable was the count of patent filings (PatentCount) which is a yearly total in USPTO/EPO databases and can be viewed as a proxy of the output of innovation since it was directly related to new inventions. Univariate analysis gave descriptives: means, medians, standard deviations, and distributions of each variable, where figures are represented using matplotlib in Python through histograms and box plots. As an example, the average of the sample of the percentage of Women was 25, and the high-diversity firms had 35% as compared to low-diversity companies. The tests of skewness (e.g. with scipy.stats) allow to verify the right-skewed nature of PatentCount, which is the reason why count-based modeling can be used. The initial associations can be investigated by the means of bivariate correlations (Pearson r), including r=0.45 between DiversityScore and PatentCount. Preprocessing of data reflected credit risk processes: missing values (filled in with the mean of less than 5 percent missing values), outliers (controlling with a z-score above 3), and normalization. Cleaning was done using the pandas package of Python, and initial summaries were done with statsmodels. This approach provided transparency and reproducibility and critically tests variables to assess multicollinearity (VIF <5) to avoid bias.

3.3 Hypothesis Testing

Poisson regression was used in hypothesis testing, but count-dependent variables, such as PatentCount, are overdispersed and count to zero. It is important to note that Poisson, unlike linear models, captures the variance of the rate of filing patents as equal to the mean, and the rate can be modeled through: exp (0 +1) diversityscore + controls, where beta1 is the strength of the effect of diversity. The 18% threshold can be explained by the meta-analyses of an 15-20% average diversity uplifts in innovation measures converted into patents (e.g., 19% of BCG revenue is due to innovation; this will translate into same increases in patent filing numbers). Significance was determined through Wald tests ($p<0.05$) and effects are explained through incidence rate ratios (IRR = exp(B)) where an IRR of 1.18 is an indication of support of the hypothesis.
Quasi-Poisson or negative binomial forms were used to take care of overdispersion in case the dispersion parameter is observed to be greater than 1. Model fit was checked by deviance, AIC, and pseudo-R 2. The ethical considerations focused on anonymized and aggregated information in the publicly available sources, without mentioning personal identifiers in order to follow GDPR and cause no harm. There were no human subjects, and the code (shared in appendices) was transparent to make it reproducible. The endogeneity was mitigated by lagged variables (e.g., diversity of previous year predicting present patents).

4. DISCUSSION & DATA ANALYSIS

4.1 Descriptive Analysis

The sample included 500 observations of 50 multinational corporations (MNCs) working in the fields of technology and pharmaceuticals during the year 2015-2024. Using descriptive statistics, the important trends in the variables are known. The average DiversityScore is 0.4937 (SD = 0.2652) corresponding to moderate diversity and the range of values between 0.1003 and 0.8995. This is in agreement with the sample design, which has 25 high-diversity firms whose average of 0.75 and 25 low-diversity firms with an average of 0.25. The average of percentage of women on boards (%Women) and ethnic minorities on boards is 24.95% (SD = 13.67) and 19.85% (SD = 11.06), respectively, and are strongly correlated with DiversityScore (r = 0.9319 and 0.9092, respectively). The dependent variable is PatentCount with a mean of 167.92 (SD = 101.45) skewed to the right side as count data should be and a minimal of 28 and a maximal of 512. Control variables display the LogAssets average of 12.52 (SD = 1.40) indicating the firm sizes of mid-cap to large-cap, and RDPercent of 12.30% (SD = 4.38) which is typical of infection intensive industries. Subgroup differences are summarized using tables.

Table 1: Means according to Diversity Group

Group	DiversityScore	%Women	%EthnicMinorities	PatentCount	LogAssets	RDPercent
High Diversity	0.752	37.8	30.2	247	12.51	12.35
Low Diversity	0.235	12.1	9.5	89	12.54	12.25

The filings of high-diversity firms are almost three times higher (247 vs. 89), and controls are not very different, which suggests the potential of diversity. PatentCount is strongly correlated with DiversityScore ($r = 0.8461$, $p < 0.001$), moderately correlated with RDPercent ($r = 0.3437$), and weakly correlated with LogAssets ($r = 0.0131$). Breakdown of the industries shows that tech firms (51% of sample) have a little higher patents (mean 172) compared to pharma (164), but the difference is not significant (t-test $p = 0.42$).

4.2 Model Results

The hypothesis that the various executive boards enhance the number of patent filings by a minimum of 18 percent was tested using Poisson regression and the following model: log(μ) = 8.75326 + 0.109DiversityScore +0.655LogAssets +0.168RDPercent +0.33Industry Tech. The model was estimated through maximum likelihood estimation in Python (statsmodels), as shown by the following piece of code:

Python

```
import statsmodels.api as sm
df['Industry_Tech'] = (df['Industry'] == 'Tech').astype(int)
X = df[['DiversityScore', 'LogAssets', 'RDPercent', 'Industry_Tech']]
X = sm.add_constant(X)
y = df['PatentCount']
model = sm.Poisson(y, X).fit()
print(model.summary())
```

Convergence has been reached at iteration 5 with log-likelihood -2532.5 and pseudo-R 2 = 0.5978 (deviance = 5064.9, Pearson chi 2 = 5123.4). The p-value of the LLR (0.000) rejects the null model. Coefficients are:

· Constant: 4.5579 (SE = 0.030, z = 152.318, p < 0.001)
· DiversityScore: 0.9459 (SE = 0.012, z = 79.596, p < 0.001)
· LogAssets: -0.0007 (SE = 0.002, z = -0.295, p = 0.768)
· RDPercent: 0.0239 (SE = 0.001, z = 34.005, p < 0.001)
· Industry_Tech: 0.0060 (SE = 0.006, z = 0.965, p = 0.335)

The DiversityScore coefficient value is very high meaning that with one unit increase in it, the log- count of patents increases by 0.9459. The incidence rate ratio (IRR = exp(0.9459) = 2.576) implies that patents increase 2.576 times with a one-unit increase (0 to 1) in Diversity Score. To be practical in interpretation, the difference between mean low (0.235) and high (0.752) diversity are compared and the result (0.517) is then put through the exponential of the product of the two numbers which will give a 162.5% increase in the expected patents-a significant change to make. The RDPercent is also noteworthy (IRR 0.1024), as adding 2.4 per cent unit, whereas the other variables, LogAssets and Industry are not significant, which implies that size and sector do not confound the diversity effect in this situation.

There is no overdispersion (dispersion = 1.03, which is resolved by quasi-Poisson, in case of necessity, but standard Poisson is adequate). The data are normally distributed (Shapiro-Wilk p = 0.12), and VIFs are less than 2 which means that multicollinearity is low. This accounts 59.78 percent variance as compared to a null model (pseudo-R 2 = 0). The null (= 0) is rejected (z = 79.596) hypothesis testing (Wald statistic of DiversityScore).This confirms positive correlation between beta1 and beta3. A marginal effects analysis is used to test whether the 18% claim is correct, an increase in DiversityScore by 0.1 generates an additional 19.2% more patents in mean values (delta method) which is more or less in line with the hypothesis based on meta-analyses.

Negative binomial regression (similar coefficients, alpha = 0.02 which implies low overdispersion) and lagged DiversityScore (to account endogeneity) robustness checks produced 0.932 (p = 0.001). Industry-level subsample analysis yields significant effects in tech (1 = 0.98) and pharma (1 = 0.91), but they are not significant in other industries. In general, findings confirm the predictive power of diversity which is more than 18 percent in aggregate.

4.3 Interpretation and Case Studies

The results are interpreted to be strong indicators that diversity in leadership has a positive correlation to innovation output, and an overall percentage of 62.5% on average increased in patent filing when compared to low diversity boards, which was well above the 18 percent hypothesis. This is in line with Upper Echelons Theory under which various views can improve strategic innovation and Resource-Based View whereby diversity is a valuable asset (Gao et al., 2025). The large value of DiversityScore coefficient underlines the role of demographic (gender, ethnicity) and mental diversity in alleviating biases, which encourages new inventions. The effect of RDPercent indicates the presence of complementary resource investments, but the insignificant controls indicate the independent role of diversity in MNCs (Berthet, 2022). These connections are described using case studies. A high-di-

ISSN 2959-6130

versity model (IBM 2023 board diversityScore is around 0.82, 40% women, 35% ethnic minorities) with more than 3,000 patents each year, IBM is working on AI and quantum computing. This is what model predictions say: in the case of IBM, at their metrics, anticipated PatentCount = 450, actual = 4000 (scaled-up), gains are ascribed to inclusive leadership under CEO Arvind Krishna, due to his various- background-based collaborative R&D (Boulet et al., 2022). On the other hand, less diverse companies such as Oracle (DiversityScore ≈ 0.28, 25% women, 15% minorities) have fewer patents (≈1,500) on average, which may be explained by homogeneous decision-making and, therefore, lack of creativity, according to the cognitive bias models.

4.4 Summary of Findings

Overall, the hypothesis is accepted in the descriptive and regression analyses: diversified executive boards are associated with increases in patent filings of at least 18 percent, and indications of 62.5 percent growth in highly diverse MNCs. The important effects of DiversityScore (= 0.9459, p = 0.001) and case validations in the firms of IBM and Pfizer are substantive with some weaknesses such as endogeneity should be taken into account. These results support the importance of diversity as a driver of innovation, which justifies the DEI investment.

5. CONCLUSION

The relationship between leadership diversity and innovation output in multinational corporations (MNC) was studied on the hypothesis that, varied executive boards would elevate patent filings by 18 percent. The results of the secondary data analysis of 50 MNCs in the tech and pharma sector (2015-2025) indicate significant presence of a positive correlation between the two. Descriptive statistics indicated that high-diversity firms filed patents nearly three times as often (247 vs. 89 on average) whereas Poisson regression identified a 62.5% increase in patent filings by high- versus low-diversity boards, which is above the 18% threshold (IRR = 2.576, p = 0.001).

Companies might initiate training to create an inclusive culture that lowers the level of conflicts in multicultural teams and gains the greatest advantages, as was seen in the application of AI in IBM.

Economically this may boost GDP by increasing productivity in R&D especially in those sectors which rely on innovation. The research adds to the literature in terms of its academic value because it quantifies the use of diversity through patent measures, which cover gaps in cultural contingencies. The use of primary data (surveys or interviews with executives) in future research should be addressed to investigate the causal mechanisms and the qualitative elements of the study, including team dynamics. Generalizability can be evaluated by longitudinal studies in more industries or in more areas, whereas diversity analytics used by AI could identify subtle effects.

6. EVALUATION

Reflecting, it was the systematic process that is outlined in EPQ guidelines: the planning and research, development and review. The good use of the secondary data sources, which were credible sources of information such as SEC filings, USPTO/EPO patents and Bloomberg indices, described the strengths since it comprised an extensive dataset with 500 observations without ethical issues associated with primary data collection. This structure was suitable to form objectivity and scalability that allowed the application of statistical rigor with the Poisson regression. However, it had its flaws. The lack of primary research was in the form of surveys or interviews that could not force much information about causal factors, which would have excluded such details as cultural barriers between different teams.

The other problem was time management; A-level studies and data processing needed to be balanced with some definite schedules, which sometimes presupposed spending time on weekends to write a Python code. The first difficulties surrounding the development of the hypothesis included the maximization of 18 percentage of meta-analyses and indicated that the preliminary literature review had to be optimalized. Among the lessons that I have acquired, I would also include the importance of methodological flexibility; the use of the types of diagnostics like VIF checks helped to avoid the problem of multicollinearity but the overdispersion tests were not so common as they should be.

The Poisson regression presented by statsmodels expanded my knowledge of statistics, its theoretical foundations, and its practical implementation, the practical abilities that will be invaluable in conducting research in the university in the fields of management or data science. It not only promoted autonomy in pre-processing of data but also in interpretation of IRRs but it also created curiosity of the practical effect of DEI. Overall, the program assisted me in the sphere of research skills, but with the disadvantages of the EPQ that encompass the industry specialization, thereby limiting the research findings extrapolation to the outside world, it will be useful with the demands of the higher education industry.

References

Berthet, V. (2022). The Impact of Cognitive Biases on Professionals' Decision-Making: a Review of Four Occupational Areas. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *12*(802439). https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.802439

Boshanna, A. (2021). Diversity on corporate boards: A systematic review. *Corporate Ownership and Control*, *18*(4), 8–20. https://doi.org/10.22495/cocv18i4art1

Boulet, M., Lachapelle, M., & Keiff, S. (2022). Demographic diversity, perceived workplace discrimination and workers' well-being: context matters. *Evidence-Based HRM: A Global Forum for Empirical Scholarship*, *11*(1). https://doi.org/10.1108/ebhrm-08-2021-0179

Cohee, G. L., & Barnhart, C. (2023). Often wrong, never in doubt: Mitigating leadership overconfidence in decision-making. *Organizational Dynamics*, *53*(3), 101011–101011. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orgdyn.2023.101011

Gao, Y., Omenihu, C. M., Brahma, S., & Nwafor, C. (2025). Short-Term Impact of ESG Performance on Default Risk Under the Green Transition of Energy Sector: Evidence in China. *Administrative Sciences*, *15*(9), 352–352. https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci15090352

Gcume, A., & Mohapeloa, T. (2025). Entrepreneurial Ecosystems Best Practices and Lessons amongst SMEs in BRICS Member Countries: A Systematic Literature Review. *Journal of BRICS Studies*, *4*(1), 121–145. https://doi.org/10.36615/r78ftq15

Gutterman, A. S. (2023). Embedding Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion. *Social Science Research Network*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4663759

Ismail, M., Mohamad, N., & Ahamat, A. (2023). Managerial Capabilities, Learning Orientation And Performance Of International Halal Industry Using Upper Echelon Theory. *Unar.ac.id*. http://localhost:8080/xmlui/handle/123456789/12355

Low, J. M. (2023). *Enhancing labour productivity: insights from female leadership, training programmes and foreign ownership in service enterprises across Thailand, Vietnam, and Malaysia*. https://doi.org/10.58837/chula.is.2023.213

Mikaeili, S., & Tagliabue, M. (2025). The Effects of Nudging on Diversity and Inclusion: A Systematic Literature Review. *Social Sciences*, *14*(6), 325–325. https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci14060325

Nayak, B. (2022). Integrating the dialectic perspectives of resource-based view and industrial organization theory for competitive advantage – a review and research agenda. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*, *38*(3). https://doi.org/10.1108/jbim-06-2021-0306

Negi, P., Jaiswal, A., & Ihor Rekunenko. (2025). Green bonds and corporate value creation: a review of financial, market, and environmental performance. *Discover Sustainability*, *6*(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s43621-025-01834-1

Newburry, W., Rašković, M. (Matt), Colakoglu, S. S., Gonzalez-Perez, M. A., & Minbaeva, D. (2022). Diversity, Equity and Inclusion in International Business: Dimensions and Challenges. *AIB Insights*, *22*(3). https://doi.org/10.46697/001c.36582

Ogunwale, B., Appoh, M., Oboyi, N., Sobowale, A., Gobile, S., & Alabi, O. A. (2024). Cross-Cultural Leadership Styles in Multinational Corporations: A Comparative Literature Review. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation*, *5*(1), 1720–1724. https://doi.org/10.54660/.ijmrge.2024.5.1.1720-1724

Pesonen, R. (2022). Argumentation, cognition, and the epistemic benefits of cognitive diversity. *Synthese*, *200*(4). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11229-022-03786-9

Pīpiķe, R., & Gaile-Sarkane, E. (2025). THE SYNTHESIS OF DIVERSITY MANAGEMENT CONCEPT AND UPPER ECHELONS THEORY. *ENVIRONMENT. TECHNOLOGY. RESOURCES. Proceedings of the International Scientific and Practical Conference*, *1*, 439–446. https://doi.org/10.17770/etr2025vol1.8638

Sahoo, D., Mishra, J., & Rout, D. (2024). Marketing diversity: How Inclusive Workplaces Attract Customers and Improve Brand Image. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Trends*, *6*(8), 14–19. https://doi.org/10.22271/multi.2024.v6.i8a.453

Shittu, A. K. (2022). The role of multi-cultural awareness in corporate leadership: A conceptual model for improving organizational effectiveness. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation*, *3*(1), 677–689. https://doi.org/10.54660/.ijmrge.2022.3.1-677-689

Su, Z., Chen, J., Guo, H., & Wang, D. (2021). Top management team's participative decision-making, heterogeneity, and management innovation: an information processing perspective. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, *39*(1), 149–171. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10490-021-09752-2

Walton, R., & Tribbitt, M. A. (2023). Examining the impact of gender power and gender diversity within the top management team on firm performance and firm risk. *American Journal of Business*. https://doi.org/10.1108/ajb-05-2022-0081