Dean&Francis

ISSN 2959-6149

Research on the Impacts of Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder and Its Intervention Effects

Tianyu Yang[1, *]

Shanghai Shangde Experimental School, Shanghai, China
*Corresponding author: qingy5651@gmail.com

Abstract:

Obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) is a common and severely mental illness that affects people, their families, and society. Existing research demonstrates the complexity of obsessive-compulsive disorder is characterized by intrusive obsessions and repetitive compulsions and is often accompanied by issues like melancholy and anxiety. While OCD carries notable negative impacts, its study focuses on the dual effects of OCD interventions: the potential risks alongside therapeutic benefits. The study reviews the findings of clinical trials, theoretical models, and epidemiological investigations using methods of literature-based analysis. Critical analysis of important therapies, including new approaches like attention bias modification (ABM), selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRI), exposure and response prevention (ERP), and cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT). The findings of the study indicate that while SSRI and ERP remain first-line therapies, they have drawbacks such as high dropout rates, partial remission, and adverse effects related to decreased compliance. While promising, new approaches like neuroregulation and digital CBT have created real issues. The research highlighta the fact that OCD treatments are not always helpful and might possibly make symptoms worse if not carried out correctly. As a result, it is essential to monitor both possible injuries and the course of treatment. To optimize advantages and reduce dangers, early discovery, individualized care, cultural sensitivity, and ongoing monitoring are essential.

Keywords: Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder; attention bias modification (ABM); selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRI); exposure and response prevention (ERP)

1. Introduction

Obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) is one of the most common and destructive mental illnesses, characterized by invasive, unnecessary thoughts and repetitive behaviors to relieve anxiety or prevent terrible outcomes [1]. It was once considered a rare neurosis, is now regarded as a common heterogeneous disease with complex biological, psychological and social foundations. DSM-5 recognizes OCD as a distinct diagnostic category and stresses the need of comprehending its symptoms, impact, and therapeutic approaches [2]. Although research has advanced significantly over the last three decades, issues with early identification, successful treatments, and long-term outcomes still exist.

According to estimates, between 1% and 3% of people worldwide suffer with obsessive-compulsive disorder at some point in their lives [3]. It often starts in childhood or early adulthood and is linked to long-term problems and poor functional results, which emphasizes the need of early detection and treatment of OCD [4]. However, many patients have not yet been diagnosed or treated due to stigmatization, attribution of symptoms, and limited access to care.

In clinical practice, obsessive-compulsive disorder is significant because of its heterogeneity. Symptoms include fear of pollution, examination behavior, symmetric coercion, hoarding and intrusion of sexual violence, sexual or religious thoughts [5]. Complications such as depression and anxiety are very common, making diagnosis and treatment more complicated [3]. Structured assessments such as the Yale-Brown Obsessive Disorder Scale are essential for reliable diagnosis.

The burden of obsessive-compulsive disorder goes beyond clinical symptoms. It seriously reduces the patient's quality of life, damages work and interpersonal relationships, and often leads to the isolation of the patient [6]. Prior study found that untreated obsessive-compulsive disorder was associated with lower employment and socioeconomic status. Families often "adapt" to OCD, which may temporarily relieve pain, but aggravate dysfunction.

From the perspective of public health, OCD can lead to high health care costs and loss of productivity. Treatment for patients is frequently postponed, which exacerbates their symptoms [1]. This emphasizes the need of early screening and measures to reduce stigma.

Significant advancements in therapy have been accomplished during the last three decades. First-line treatment approaches include selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRI) and cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT), particularly exposure and response prevention (ERP) [5,7]. Although the occurrence of school bullying is significant, ERP helps patients deal with their fears without following rituals. After halting production, SSRIs provide some relief, but they frequently cause relapses.

Treatment options have increased thanks to new approaches like attention bias modification (ABM), Internet-based cognitive behavioral therapy, and mobile health platforms, while neuroscience has made neuromodulation treatments like rTMS and DBS possible for drug-resistant instances [3,8,9]. Despite being experimental, these techniques show great promise.

However, interventions are not universally beneficial. Bad ERP may aggravate avoidance, and drugs will cause some side effects. McKay emphasized that the harmful mechanism is as worrying as the effect of the treatment. Recognizing this dual nature is crucial for safer, patient-centered care [10].

In short, obsessive-compulsive disorder is very common, heterogeneous and harmful, with a wide range of consequences for individuals and families. Despite the progress made, challenges such as resistance, side effects and systemic obstacles remain. Research must balance optimism about progress and caution about risk, not only to reduce symptoms, but also to improve the quality of life of patients.

2. Core Concepts of Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder

Obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) is a chronic and disabling mental illness. Obsession is intrusive, unwanted thoughts, or impulses that cause significant distress, while compulsion is repetitive behaviors or psychological strategies aimed at alleviating distress or preventing perceived catastrophic outcomes [11]. The interaction between obsessions and compulsions constitutes the clinical features of OCD, distinguishing it from other anxiety disorders. This chapter focuses on clarifying the basic characteristics of OCD, its diagnostic framework, developmental patterns, and the cognitive-behavioral mechanisms that sustain this disorder. In addition, the relevance of emerging interventions, such as attention bias modification (ABM), will serve as a conceptual extension of the traditional OCD model.

2.1 Defining Obsessions and Compulsions

OCD obsessions are ego-in, meaning that individuals recognize that they are not aligned with their values or desires, but they still cannot suppress them effectively [2]. Fear of pollution, concerns about safety, thoughts of violence or sexuality, and religious disputes are examples of common obsessions. Rituals like hand washing, check-

ISSN 2959-6149

ing, counting, and mental neutralizing are examples of compulsions, which are coping mechanisms that are frequently carried out. Crucially, OCDs are motivated by the momentary solace they offer from obsessive-compulsive distress rather than by pleasure [3].

Obsessions and compulsions interact cyclically to worsen the illness. For instance, someone who is worried about becoming sick would wash their hands a lot in order to feel better. Although this activity temporarily lessens anxiety, it perpetuates the long-term connection between compulsive routine and obsessive dread, resulting in a vicious cycle [5]. This negative reinforcement loop is a central concept in cognitive-behavioral models of OCD and provides a key rationale for exposure-based therapies.

2.2 Diagnostic Framework

Over time, the diagnostic criteria for obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) have evolved considerably. For example, the DSM-5 reclassifies OCD into a distinct category titled "OCD and Related Disorders", which is a revision that highlights its distinction from generalized anxiety disorder.

The basic diagnostic criteria for OCD are as follows: an individual must have obsessions, compulsions, or both; recognize that obsessions or compulsions are excessive or unreasonable; experience symptoms that cause significant distress or impairment; and have symptoms that cannot be better explained by other medical conditions or substances.

Standardized instruments like the Yale-Brown Obsessive-Compulsive Scale are frequently employed in clinical evaluation to gauge severity [7]. Research has demonstrated that symptom dimensions can differ between cultures and groups, with cross-cultural studies identifying distinct theme differences [1]. These differences help with therapy personalization in addition to being clinically significant.

2.3 Developmental and Cognitive Mechanisms

Prepubescence and early adulthood are the two primary peaks in the onset of OCD, which typically manifests in childhood or adolescence [4]. Particular features of pediatric OCD may include highly ritualized OCD and more mystical or irrational obsessions. In family accommodation, caregivers unwittingly participate in or encourage obsessive habits, such as regularly soothing a child or assisting with cleaning routines, which further complicates the development of early OCD. This trend not only frequently postpones essential therapy but also encourages maladaptive behaviors.

Early detection and management are therefore essential. According to Walitza, Van Ameringen, and Geller, identifying OCD symptoms in teenagers early on can drastically change the course of the illness and avoid chronicity and functional impairment [4]. This finding provides a conceptual bridge to early cognitive interventions, such as ABM, which may be particularly effective during periods of neurodevelopment sensitivity.

Cognitive-behavioral theory has always been the core of understanding the continuity of obsessive-compulsive disorder. The core mechanism includes the integration of thought and action, exaggerated responsibility and the intolerance of uncertainty [2]. Thought-action integration refers to the belief that just thinking about a negative event will increase its possibility, while exaggerated responsibilities involve overestimating the individual's responsibility to prevent injury, and intolerance of uncertainty promotes compulsive symptoms, making patients try to create certainty in ambiguous situations.

Neurocognitive studies demonstrate that patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder frequently exhibit attentional biases toward threat-related stimuli [9]. For example, those who are afraid of pollution may pay disproportionate attention to the clues of dirt or disease. This attention bias continues the point of obsession with content and further promotes compulsive reactions.

2.4 Attention Bias and Emerging Cognitive Models

Recently, the cognitive model of obsessive-compulsive disorder has highlighted not only deliberate thinking patterns, but also automatic and implicit attentional processes [2, 3]. Research indicates that individuals with OCD tend to show an attentional bias toward threat-related cues that match their obsessions, including contamination, danger, or moral violations [3]. This bias increases the salience of intrusive thoughts and contributes to the persistence of compulsive responses, reinforcing the anxiety–compulsion cycle [6].

Within this framework, attention bias modification (ABM) has been proposed as a conceptual extension of existing cognitive-behavioral theory. ABM refers to computerized tasks designed to divert attention away from threat-related stimuli, thereby targeting early automatic processing rather than conscious beliefs [8]. Although ABM is primarily studied as an emerging intervention, its theoretical relevance lies in illustrating how preconscious attentional mechanisms sustain OCD symptoms [3]. By incorporating attentional processes into the cognitive model, contemporary theories provide a more comprehensive account of how obsessions are triggered, maintained, and experienced across different developmental stages and symptom dimensions [2, 3].

2.5 Summary

In summary, the core concept of OCD revolves around the periodic relationship between obsessions and compulsions, and the framework is in the established diagnostic and cognitive behavior model. Developmental considerations highlight the importance of early detection and intervention, especially among children and adolescents. Cognitive theory emphasizes inadaptive beliefs and intolerance of uncertainty, while recent advances emphasize the role of attention bias in maintaining symptoms. By addressing the implicit attention pattern, attention bias reduction offers a novel approach, broadening the conceptual framework of obsessive-compulsive disorder and suggesting a creative course for treatments. This blend of conventional and new perspectives offers a foundation for comprehending the wider effects of obsessive-compulsive disorder.

3. Impacts of Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder on Quality of Life

After the previous section outlined the core conceptual characteristics of obsessive-compulsive disorder, this chapter now turns to its practical impact, especially how these symptoms affect various aspects of the individual's quality of life.Obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) has a profound impact on the quality of life of individuals, far beyond the existence of invasive thoughts and ritual behaviors. The disease permeates multiple levels of function, including emotional health, interpersonal relationships, occupational performance and physical health [6]. Many people go untreated despite the availability of effective therapies because of obstacles like stigma, expense, and restricted access to specialist care [11]. This chapter examines the detrimental and beneficial impacts of OCD and its treatments on people's quality of life, highlighting both conventional wisdom and cutting-edge cognitive techniques like attention bias reduction.

3.1 Psychological and Emotional Burden

The psychological toll that obsessive-compulsive disorder takes is enormous. Compulsion takes a lot of time and effort, and obsession frequently results in intense feelings of dread, remorse, or contempt. As a result, patients frequently suffer from elevated anxiety, feelings of depression, and a decline in self-worth [2]. Desperation is exacerbated when people believe they are "trapped" by their illness and have little control over their thoughts or actions [1].

Obsessive-compulsive disorder patients reported quality of life scores that were considerably poorer than those of patients with other chronic mental health problems, such depression, according to Eisen et al. [6]. This finding emphasizes the particular suffering caused by obsessive-compulsive disorder, which frequently outweighs the harm caused by other anxiety-related diseases in terms of how it affects values and self-concept.

3.2 Social and Interpersonal Functioning

Obsessive-compulsive disorder also severely restricts social functions. Patients often avoid social interactions that may causeobsessive-compulsive disorder. For example, those who are worried about pollution may withdraw from the party or refuse to shake hands. Family interactions become strained and isolated as a result of social avoidance over time [3].

One of the most common issues in interpersonal relationships is home accommodations. In order to ease acute anguish, relatives may take part in or encourage rituals like making promises or assisting with cleanup. Even when done with the best of intentions, this conduct makes caring for others more difficult and promotes the obsessive tendency [4]. Patients' and their families' quality of life has declined as a result of the ensuing family conflict.

3.3 Occupational and Academic Impairments

Research indicates that OCD has equally important effects on the workplace and in school with lower employment rates and increased dropout rates. Time-consuming routines or distracting thoughts frequently make it difficult for people to stay productive. While students may not finish exams or fulfill academic deadlines, workplace performance is negatively impacted by tardiness, absenteeism, and diminished focus [5].

According to Subramaniam et al., OCD that is left untreated or improperly managed is linked to poorer socioeconomic level and decreased employment rates [11]. Furthermore, despite therapy, lingering symptoms frequently impede complete occupational recovery. These limitations underscore the need for therapies that restore functional capacity while simultaneously reducing symptoms.

3.4 Physical Health and Daily Living

Although obsessive-compulsive disorder is primarily considered a mental illness, its psychosomatic interactions often manifest in physical health problems. Excessive cleaning rituals can lead to skin disease problems, while repeated examination behaviors may lead to musculoskeletal strain. Sleep disorders are very common and stem from long rituals or night obsessions [7].

Daily activities such as eating, commuting or shopping may be interrupted by compulsive behavior, making it impossible for individuals to effectively participate in daily

ISSN 2959-6149

tasks. The resulting fatigue further reduces the quality of life and creates a cycle of physical and psychological disorders.

3.5 Positive Impacts and Adaptive Aspects

Although obsessive-compulsive disorder mainly weakens people, some people will find positive results related to adaptive aspects or their symptoms or treatment. For example, increasing conscientiousness, meticulousness and attention to detail, which is usually associated with obsessive-compulsive disorder, may help to succeed in a specific professional field [3]. In addition, the treatment process itself may promote recovery and coping strategies, providing patients with psychological tools for non-obsessive-compulsive-compulsive disorder.

However, it is very important to distinguish between adaptive personality traits and pathological characteristics of obsessive-compulsive disorder. Excessive rituals and invasive obsession will not bring benefits, but will erode well-being. Therefore, the "positive impact" of obsessive-compulsive disorder should be understood from the perspective of personal growth and resilience cultivated through treatment, not from the perspective of the disease itself.

3.6 Impacts of Intervention on Quality of Life

The treatment of obsessive-compulsive disorder, including drug treatment and cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT), has shown significant results in reducing symptoms and improving quality of life [5]. Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRI) are first-line drug treatment, while exposure and reaction prevention (ERP) is still the gold standard of behavioral intervention [7]. Both methods can relieve pain and enable patients to resume daily activities, thus improving QoL.

However, treatment restrictions still exist. McKay, Abramowitz and Storch emphasize the mechanism of harmful treatment, including the implementation of poor ERP, which may aggravate pain if patients feel overwhelmed or unsupported [10]. In addition, the side effects of drugs can cause fatigue, gastrointestinal problems or sexual dysfunction. These findings illustrate the dual nature of interventions, showing both their therapeutic benefits and potential risks.

3.7 The Role of Attention Bias Modification in Enhancing Quality of Life

Attention bias modification (ABM) represents an innovative method to solve the damage related to obsessive-compulsive disorder. By retraining individuals from threat-related stimuli, ABM may reduce the prominence of invasive ideas and reduce compulsive impulses [8]. For example, patients with pollution phobia may gradually learn to pay less attention to cleaning clues, thus reducing anxiety and ritual behavior.

If effective, ABM can enhance multiple areas of quality of life, such as reducing social avoidance, restoring professional function and alleviating psychological distress. In addition, the computerization and accessibility of ABM make it an extensible aid to existing therapies [9].

However, the basis of evidence is still preliminary. Although early findings show that ABM reduces attention bias, its transformation into clinically important QoL improvement needs to be further verified. In addition, some critics believe that a narrow focus on the attention process may ignore the broader cognitive distortion of the core of obsessive-compulsive disorder [3]. Therefore, ABM should be regarded as a supplementary rather than a standalone intervention.

3.8 Summary

The impact of obsessive-compulsive disorder on QoL is extensive and profound, often persisting for decades without adequate treatment. Although traditional therapy provides substantial relief, residual symptoms and treatment restrictions emphasize the need for innovative methods. ABM provides a promising way by addressing attention bias, which may improve QoL when included in a comprehensive treatment plan. To guarantee that treatment plans are both successful and patient-centered, it is critical to acknowledge the dual consequences of interventions—benefits and hazards. The ultimate goal of managing obsessive-compulsive disorder is to integrate symptom reduction, functional recovery, and quality of life enhancement.

4. Mechanisms of Harmful Treatments and Limitations in OCD Intervention

Even though nowadays OCD treatment has advanced significantly, research shows that not all interventions like inadequate ERP implementation or inappropriate medication use are safe or consistently effective. Some treatments could inadvertently increase the patient's discomfort or create new obstacles to recovery. However, therapy outcomes are still constrained by conceptual and systemic issues. This chapter examines the wider constraints of existing intervention techniques as well as the mechanisms of treatment that may result in harm. In doing so, it highlights the necessity of increased vigilance, openness, and creativity in the treatment of obsessive-compulsive disorder.

4.1 Psychological Mechanisms of Harm

The most popular psychological treatment for obsessive-compulsive disorder is exposure and response prevention, or ERP. However, ERP may be harmful if improperly implemented. Patients who are exposed too quickly or are not fully prepared may experience overwhelming pain or refuse to continue treatment [7]. According to statistics, the dropout rate of patients who have experienced ERP is estimated at 20–30%, which usually reflects the difficulty of tolerating exposure tasks [5].

If the therapist fails to ensure response prevention, the patient may continue compulsions during exposure, strengthening rather than weakening the obsession–compulsion cycle. Therefore, poorly structured ERP will deeply root the patient's maladaptive behavior and reduce treatment credibility.

Cognitive interventions that overemphasize challenging and invasive ideas on patients may be counterproductive. Excessive concentration is not to reduce obsession, but to improve their prominence and increase rumination [10]. Patients with rigid thinking may interpret therapeutic problems as doctors want to verify their fears, leading to more deep-rooted beliefs.

Treatment Failure and Stigma

Another kind of psychological injury is when the treatment fails. Patients may perceive the failure of treatment as their fault, leading to increased avoidance of future care [6]. Given that obsessive-compulsive disorder has been stigmatized, unsuccessful interventions may deepen confidentiality, hinder future help, and exacerbate the social isolation of patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder.

4.2 Biological and Pharmacological Mechanisms of Harm

Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRI) are the first-line drug treatment for obsessive-compulsive disorder, but they are not without risk. Side effects include fatigue, gastrointestinal problems, insomnia, sexual dysfunction, and emotional blunting [3]. These may reduce compliance and lead to premature cessation of treatment.

For drug-resistant cases, medical staff can prescribe antipsychotic enhancers, but these drugs can put patients at risk of weight gain, metabolic syndrome and stunting [5]. In this case, the physical burden of treatment may be greater than its therapeutic effect.

There are extra hazards associated with experimental therapies like transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) and deep brain stimulation (DBS). Electrodes are surgically implanted during DBS, which may result in hemorrhage, infection, or personality changes [3]. The use of DBS presents ethical issues because it is costly and invasive, despite the fact that it works for certain drug-resistant individuals.

TMS is less invasive and rarely results in seizures and can cause headaches. Even though these techniques show great promise, they are still experimental and should be applied carefully.

4.3 Structural and Systemic Limitations

ERP and CBT require trained therapists, but in many regions, patients have limited access to experts. Patients in low-resource environments often receive inappropriate interventions, such as brief counseling without evidence-based protocols [9]. In addition, the high cost of treatment has further exacerbated the inequality of patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder, forcing them to give up nursing care and increasing family pressure.

Most evidence-based treatments are developed in the Western context. Cultural norms affect the performance of obsessive-compulsive symptoms and treatment compliance [1]. And rituals may overlap with cultural customs, complicating diagnosis and intervention. If patients are treated without cultural adaptation, they may feel misunderstood and resist treatment.

Many interventions emphasize the reduction of obsessive-compulsive disorder symptoms as the main result. Although valuable, this narrow focus may ignore the patient's quality of life, functional recovery and the patient's self-defined goals [12]. If the treatment can reduce obsessive-compulsive disorder but does not improve interpersonal relationships, work or self-esteem, the treatment may fail to improve the patient's overall quality of life, including interpersonal relationships, work performance, and self-esteem.

4.4 Conceptual and clinical limitations

Obsessive-compulsive disorder is highly heterogeneous, but most interventions follow standardized protocols. Pollution fear may respond well to ERP, while invasive taboo ideas may require cognitive or acceptance-based strategies [2]. If a personalized treatment plan is not provided to patients, the treatment effect is still limited.

Even with optimal treatment, 40–60% of patients cannot achieve a full response [3]. The residual symptoms of obsessive-compulsive disorder often persist, which is a chronic injury for patients. This highlights the limitations of the current treatment framework, which may not completely address the deep-rooted pattern of obsessive-compulsive disorder.

Most obsessive-compulsive disease studies measure short-term results within a few weeks or months. However, few people study the long-term trajectory of obsessive-com-

ISSN 2959-6149

pulsive disorder, which leaves a gap in today's knowledge of the recurrence rate and the continuous recovery of patients [10]. For example, although ERP can produce strong results at first, adherence tends to decline without continuous support. Similarly, long-term use of SSRI has raised patients' concerns about withdrawal and cumulative side effects.

4.5 Ethical considerations

The understanding of harmful mechanisms emphasizes the importance of morality in the care of patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder. The informed consent of patients and their families to treatment must include a transparent discussion of risks, side effects and the possibility of partial remission [10]. Medical staff should carefully provide experimental treatment such as DBS or ABM, and carry out strict monitoring and supervision. Patients should also play a greater role in shaping research and treatment priorities, ensuring that interventions are consistent with their values and rehabilitation goals.

4.6 Summary

Despite significant progress, obsessive-compulsive interventions still have risks and limitations. The psychological damage caused by patients may be caused by poor execution of ERP, adjustment of cognitive strategies or failure of treatment attempts. Pharmacological selection will have side effects that undermine patient compliance, and neuroregulation has an invasive risk. Systemic inequality limits visits, cultural insensitivity reduces participation, and narrow treatment goals often fail to capture the well-being of patients. The heterogeneity and chronicity of obsessive-compulsive disorder further challenge the existing framework, reflecting that the lack of long-term data on existing methods limits the understanding of sustainable therapeutic effects.

Recognizing these harmful mechanisms does not negate the value of existing interventions. On the contrary, it requires improving treatment strategies, improving cultural sensitivity, and assuming moral responsibility in developing new treatments. By directly addressing these limitations, clinicians and researchers can reduce secondary or even multiple injuries to patients, and ensure that obsessive-compulsive care is both effective and humane.

5. Discussion and Suggestion

The effects of obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD), therapeutic developments, and detrimental mechanisms were examined in the earlier chapters. These results demonstrate both noteworthy developments and persistent difficulties. This chapter combines these discoveries, talks about the implications for clinical practice and policy, and suggests methods to improve results.

5.1 Balancing Progress and Limitations

Treatments such as cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT), exposure and response prevention (ERP) and selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRI) significantly alleviated symptoms [3,5]. However, the dropout rate, partial responses, and side effects are still obstacles for patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder. Clinicians must openly communicate benefits and risks to their patients so that patients can set realistic expectations and stay involved [10].

5.2 Early Detection

OCD usually begins in childhood or adolescence, and untreated cases become chronic cases [4]. Early recognition in schools and primary health care can prevent deep-rooted patterns for patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder, while public campaigns can reduce patients' self-stigma and encourage them to seek help from professionals in a timely manner.

5.3 Expanding Access

There are still few effective measures to intervene in obsessive-compulsive disorder in many areas. Treatment methods such as ERP and CBT require well-trained experts and are very expensive. Internet-based CBT (iCBT) provides scalable access, and under the guidance of therapists, the results are comparable [9]. Therefore, in the future, treatment institutions should develop telemedicine and adjust interventions according to local cultural norms to enhance cultural appropriateness [1].

5.4 Addressing Risks

Treatment risks still exist, ERP with poor structure may overwhelm patients, leading to premature termination, and SSRI will cause patients to feel tired or affect the gastrointestinal tract [5,7]. Clinicians should gradually introduce exposure and carefully monitor the side effects of drugs or treatments. Experimental methods such as deep brain stimulation (DBS) and attention bias modification (ABM) require strict ethical supervision [10].

5.5 Enhancing Quality of Life

In fact, it is not enough to alleviate the symptoms of patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder. Rehabilitation should also strengthen the patient's interpersonal relationship, self-esteem and daily function [11]. Family-based plans reduce accommodation and reduce the burden on

caregivers [6].

5.6 Research Directions

Most studies only measure short-term benefits. Therefore, researchers need to conduct longitudinal research to clarify the recurrence and maintain recovery [3]. Cross-cultural research on obsessive-compulsive disorder should be expanded beyond high-income countries to ensure global relevance.

5.7 Summary

There has been progress in the care of OCD, but the challenges remain. Early detection, personalization, fair access and moral responsibility are the key. Interventions should not only relieve symptoms, but also improve the quality of life of patients and their families.

6. Conclusion

This study aims to explore the effects of obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) and critically examine the dual nature of its intervention. Obsessive-compulsive disorder is one of the most disable mental illnesses, not only because its symptoms persist, but also because it has a wide-ranging impact on social, occupational and family functions. Facts have proved that obsessions and compulsions will cause significant psychological and functional burdens. Importantly, the study emphasizes that the cost of OCD goes beyond the individual, affecting caregivers, family dynamics, and even social productivity.

In terms of treatment, the analysis shows that established interventions, especially CBT with ERP and SSRI, are still the most evidence-based first-line options. These methods consistently alleviate symptoms and improve the quality of life of most patients. However, their effectiveness is limited by the side effects of high school truction, incomplete mitigation and disruptive compliance. The study also emphasized the importance of recognizing the risks associated with poor implementation of interventions, which may exacerbate avoidance, stigmatization or reduce patients' confidence in future care.

The research results further show that new methods, including attention bias modification (ABM), Internet-based CBT and neuroregulation techniques, are expected to expand treatment access and solve drug-resistant cases. However, these approaches are still in the early phases of gathering data and present fresh ethical, practical, and cultural sensitivity issue, that highlights how crucial it is to view innovation as an addition to an established strategy rather than as a replacement for it.

Two levels of significance are really revealed by the interpretation of these data. First of all, researchers must balance optimism about progress and caution about risks in clinical practice. Effective management of obsessive-compulsive disorder requires early detection, careful evaluation and personalized intervention to explain the heterogeneity, developmental background and cultural differences of symptoms. Secondly, the public health system has to deal with a number of issues that impede prompt patient care, including stigmatization, the high expense of treatment, and a shortage of specialists.

This study acknowledged its flaws as well. As a literature-based study, it is constrained by the body of available research, many of which concentrate on the immediate outcomes of high-income nations, leaving a knowledge vacuum on recurrence, long-term quality of life, and the correlation between worldwide treatment and recurrence.

Therefore, future research on OCD should pay more attention to the patient-centered perspective, not only focusing on symptom relief, but also on functional recovery, family well-being and patients' subjective experience of quality of life. Such research orientation highlights the importance of cross-cultural research, because different cultural backgrounds may affect the response to treatment. Research can also combine digital tools with traditional treatment methods, which can help improve the availability, individualization and continuity of intervention. In addition, strengthening the ethical framework of experimental intervention is indispensable as well, which can protect the rights and interests of patients and maintain the long-term trust of clinical practice.

In a word, researchers must carry out obsessive-compulsive intervention with confidence and caution. By combining established methods with innovative methods and recognizing limitations and risks, clinical nursing and research can be closer to the next progress. Treatment should not only relieve symptoms, but also restore the dignity, function and quality of life of those with obsessive-compulsive disorder.

References

[1] Stein, D. J. (2002). Obsessive-compulsive disorder. The Lancet, 360(9330), 397–405.

[2] Abramowitz, J. S., Taylor, S., & McKay, D. (2009). Obsessive-compulsive disorder. The Lancet, 374(9688), 491–499.

[3] Stein, D. J., Costa, D. L., Lochner, C., Miguel, E. C., Reddy, Y. J., Shavitt, R. G., … & Simpson, H. B. (2019). Obsessive–compulsive disorder. Nature Reviews Disease Primers, 5(1), 52.

[4] Walitza, S., Van Ameringen, M., & Geller, D. (2020). Early detection and intervention for obsessive-compulsive disorder in childhood and adolescence. The Lancet Child & Adolescent

ISSN 2959-6149

Health, 4(2), 99–101.

[5] Hirschtritt, M. E., Bloch, M. H., & Mathews, C. A. (2017). Obsessive-compulsive disorder: Advances in diagnosis and treatment. JAMA, 317(13), 1358–1367.

[6] Eisen, J. L., Mancebo, M. A., Pinto, A., Coles, M. E., Pagano, M. E., Stout, R., & Rasmussen, S. A. (2006). Impact of obsessive-compulsive disorder on quality of life. Comprehensive Psychiatry, 47(4), 270–275.

[7] Steketee, G., & Lam, J. (1993). Obsessive-compulsive disorder. In A. Freeman & F. M. Dattilio (Eds.), Handbook of effective psychotherapy (pp. 253–278). Boston, MA: Springer US.

[8] Liu, F., & Zhang, Z. M. (2018). Attention bias modification training for obsessive-compulsive disorder. Advances in Psychology, 8, 431.

[9] Fontenelle, L. F., Nicolini, H., & Brakoulias, V. (2022). Early intervention in obsessive-compulsive disorder: From theory to practice. Comprehensive Psychiatry, 119, 152353.

[10] McKay, D., Abramowitz, J. S., & Storch, E. A. (2021). Mechanisms of harmful treatments for obsessive–compulsive disorder. Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice, 28(1), 52.

[11] Subramaniam, M., Soh, P., Vaingankar, J. A., Picco, L., & Chong, S. A. (2013). Quality of life in obsessive-compulsive disorder: Impact of the disorder and of treatment. CNS Drugs, 27(5), 367–383.